

MINUTES
Main Street Board
Meeting Minutes of February 26, 2018

The Main Street Development and Preservation Board of the City of Cedar Hill, Texas, met Monday, February 26, 2018, at 6:00 pm. in the Administration Conference Room of the Cedar Hill Government Center, 285 Uptown Blvd., Cedar Hill, Texas.

The following members were present: Alice Atchison, Al Armistead, Albert Mack, Randy Moon, Norman Patten, Gary Reed and Charlie Thompson. Gordon Penelton was absent. The following City staff members were present: Greg Porter, City Manager, Russell Read, Director of Customer and Visitor Experience, LaShondra Stringfellow, Director of Planning, Duy Vu, Public Works Environmental Manager, and Lauren McCarty, Administrative Secretary.

I. Call the Meeting to order.

Chairman Gary Reed called the meeting to order at 6:03 pm declaring it an open meeting with notice of the meeting duly posted and a quorum present.

II. Approve the Minutes of the January 22, 2018 Regular Meeting.

Norman Patten made a motion, seconded by Charlie Thompson, to approve the minutes of the January 22, 2018 Regular Meeting. The motion was approved unanimously with abstentions by Randy Moon and Alice Atchison.

III. Receive update of Main Street Development and Preservation Board name change and revisions.

The Board was presented with Resolution No. R18-512 to repeal and revoke Resolution R00-12, which established the Main Street Development and Preservation Board, and to create in its place the Historic Downtown Advisory Board.

City Manager Greg Porter spoke of the Main Street Board's inception and its early goal of becoming a full-fledged Texas Main Street Program. Having never come to fruition due to lack of historic fabric, connection to the Texas Main Street Program was deemed no longer relevant nor reflective of the Board's purpose.

The proposed Historic Downtown Advisory Board's duties would involve making recommendations on and advocating for, anything within and concerning Cedar Hill's Historic Downtown. Board membership would remain at nine (9) voting members.

Al Armistead inquired as to whether the membership requirement should be reduced to only seven (7) voting members. It was stated that seven (7) member boards require a smaller quorum and, as such, are perceived as more effective decision makers. However, a larger nine (9) member board allows more voices to be heard.